

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES (AJHS)

Scope: Biomedical Sciences, Public Health, Social Sciences and relate fields

Review Guidelines

(Please comment on the following aspects of the manuscript. You may include more information at the bottom of the page)

1. **Topic:** Is the topic relevant for the journal?
2. **Title:** Does the title reflect the contents of the article?
3. **Originality:** Is the work original? (If not, please give references)
4. **Abstract:** To what extent does the abstract reflect aspects of the study: background, objectives, methods, results and conclusions?
5. **Introduction / Background:** Is the study rationale adequately described?
6. **Objectives:** Are the study objectives clearly stated?
7. **Methodology: (Provide examples and evidence for your response, in addition to your yes or no answer)**
 - 7.1 To what extent is the study design appropriate for the objectives?
 - 7.2 Is the sample size appropriate and adequately justified?
 - 7.3 Is the sampling technique appropriate and adequately described?
 - 7.4 How well are the methods and instruments of data collection described?
 - 7.5 How well are techniques to minimize bias/errors documented?
8. **Ethical Consideration:** Are issues related to ethics adequately described? (For human studies, has ethical approval been obtained?)
9. **Analysis and results:**
 - 9.1 Are the methods of data analysis appropriate?
 - 9.2 Is statistical significance well documented (e.g. as confidence intervals or P-value)?
 - 9.3 Are the findings presented logically with appropriate displays and explanations?

10. Discussion:

10.1 How well are the key findings stated?

10.2 To what extent have differences or similarities with other studies been discussed and reasons for these given?

10.3 Are the implications of these findings clearly articulated?

11. Conclusion(s):

Do the results justify the conclusion(s)?

12. References :

12.1 Are they appropriate and relevant?

12.2 Are they up to date?

12.3 Do they follow the recommended style?

12.4 Are there any errors?

13. Writing: Is the paper clearly written?

13.1 Are there problems with the grammar / spelling / language?

13.2 Is the paper presented logically (e.g. correct information in each section, logical flow of arguments)?

Recommendations

Please select **one** of the following recommendations:

1. The paper can be published as it is
2. The paper can be published with minor revisions as suggested
3. The paper can be published with major revisions as suggested..... *If you choose this option, do you want to review the revised paper? Yes/No*
4. The paper is more suitable for publication in another journal
5. The paper is not acceptable to be published in this journal
6. Other (explain)

Reviewer Declaration

1. Please state any relevant competing interests

.....
.....
.

- Adopted from Afr. J. Trad. CAM (2005) 2 (3): 345 - 354)